## GENERAL SERVICES ADMINISTRATION

WASHINGTON, D. C. 20405

GSA Declassification & Release Instructions on File - No Referral to GSA

September 29, 1964

GENERAL SERVICES ADMINISTRATION CIRCULAR NO. 353

TO Heads of Federal Agencies

SUBJECT: Guidelines for Acquisition of Office Copying Machines Not

Requiring Sensitized Paper for Reproduction

1. Purpose. This circular is issued to assist personnel of Federal agencies who are concerned with the acquisition of office copying machines available under the terms of Federal Supply Schedule, FSC Group 36, Part II. These guidelines may also be utilized in connection with acquisition of equipment by or for contractors or subcontractors performing under cost-reimbursement type contracts. The circular is not intended as a guide for determining whether a need exists for copying equipment.

Background. The subject machine, which is the only electrostatic copier that uses standard stock paper, was introduced in the latter part of 1960. It has reduced copy costs in Government agencies while providing rapid copy production. Prior to July 1, 1963, this machine appeared on the Federal Supply Schedule but could only be leased. Schedule has allowed for the purchase of new machines for \$29,500 since that date, and the FY 1965 Schedule also authorizes purchase of a leased machine with full credit for the rentals paid during the 18 months prior to purchase, up to \$14,500, applied to the purchase price. Even though purchase of this machine has been authorized for over a year, agencies have for the most part continued to lease the machine. The decision to lease is probably due to its high purchase price compared with other copying machines, and/or concern with regard to potential obsolescence.

While the technologic obsolescence factor must be considered carefully when reviewing the alternative methods of acquiring the subject machine. the purchase price per se should not be considered a deterrent in this regard. This reasoning particularly applies where a currently leased machine may be purchased and a sizable reduction of the purchase price credited for past rental costs. Studies conducted by the General Accounting Office and the General Services Administration indicate that in many cases substantial savings can be realized by purchase of the subject machine, notwithstanding its high acquisition cost (see attachment). The option now available to purchase a new or currently leased machine should be exercised more frequently than in the past, despite the technologic fluidity in the copying field today.

Selection of Method of Acquisition. In view of the above, Government agencies today have three alternatives. They can (1) lease the

Approved For Release 2002/05/01: CIA-RDP84-00499R000600040108-8

subject machine, (2) purchase a currently leased machine, or (3) purchase a new machine. The method of acquiring the subject copying machine should be determined after careful consideration of the relative merits of the methods available. The method selected should be that which offers the greatest advantage to the Government under the circumstances which pertain to each situation. Decisions as to the financial advantages of purchasing, whether it be a new or a currently leased machine, should be based not only on the needs of the individual using activity, but on the needs of the entire agency, and to the extent practicable, the needs of the entire Federal Government. In this regard, activities planning to acquire the subject machine for use for a period of time insufficient to realize a savings by purchase should consider whether savings can be obtained by subsequent use of the machine within the agency or by utilization elsewhere in the Government. To aid in such determinations, the attachment and the following examples are offered for agency guidance:

Agency A has made a determination to acquire the subject machine. A workload of 20,000 copies per month is anticipated. As can be seen in the attachment, after 5 years the cost to Agency A would be less if the machine is acquired by purchase rather than lease. Furthermore, since the estimated life expectancy is 3 million copies, Agency A would be able to operate the machine for at least another 5 years with the only charge being maintenance costs of \$2,160 per year. The lease costs would continue at \$8,700 per year under the present schedule.

Agency B leased a new machine early in September 1962 that has been producing 30,000 copies per month since that time. By purchasing this machine the purchase price will be reduced by \$14,500. Total costs for the first 2 years following purchase would be \$21,480 (\$15,000 purchase price + \$6,480 maintenance costs = \$21,480), whereas lease costs during this period would total \$24,900. At the end of the 2-year period, the purchased machine would still be capable of producing 30,000 copies per month for 4-1/3 years before reaching its life expectancy with the only cost being the \$.009 per copy maintenance charge.

Agency C has leased a machine which has averaged 40,000 copies per month for 2 years; the life expectancy of the machine is an additional 51 months. (24 mos. x 40,000 copies + 51 mos. x 40,000 copies = 3,000,000 copies.) The question here is, should Agency C purchase the used machine with a 51-month life expectancy, or purchase a new machine with a life expectancy of 75 months. In this instance, although cost is of prime concern, protection from technologic obsolescence must be considered. With the purchase of a used machine, Agency C would be better protected cost-wise against this eventuality than if it purchased a new machine.

## Approved For Release 2002/05/01 CIA-RDP84-00499R000600040108-8

The attachment and the foregoing examples reflect savings that can be attained under the existing Federal Supply Schedule. Subsequent modifications thereto, or the advent of new or modified equipment or processes can of course affect the computations contained therein. When such circumstances do arise, this circular will be appropriately modified.

BERNARD L. BOUTIN Administrator

Attachment

## Approved For Release 2002/05/01: CIA-RDP84-00499R000600040108-8

## COST COMPARISON OF LEASE VS. PURCHASE OF A NEW COPYING MACHINE

COPIES PER MO.	CUMULATIVE YEARLY COSTS										
<u>LEASE</u> *	1 2022 2		3	<u>Ļ</u>	5		7	8	9	10	
10,000 15,000 20,000 25,000 30,000 35,000 40,000 45,000 50,000	\$ 4,500 6,600 8,700 10,650 12,450 13,950 15,450 16,950 18,450	\$ 9,000 13,200 17,400 21,300 24,900 27,900 30,900 33,900 36,900	\$13,500 19,800 26,100 31,950 37,350 41,850 46,350 50,850 55,350	\$18,000 26,400 34,800 42,600 49,800 55,800 61,800 67,800 73,800	\$22,500 33,000 43,500 53,250 62,250 69,750 77,250 84,750 92,250	\$27,000 39,600 52,200 63,900 74,700 83,700 92,700	\$31,500 46,200 60,900 74,550 87,150 97,650	\$36,000 52,800 69,600 85,200 99,600	\$40,500 59,400 78,300 95,850	\$45,000 66,000 87,000 106,500	
PURCHASE**  10,000 15,000 20,000 25,000 30,000 35,000 40,000 45,000 50,000	\$30,580 31,120 31,660 32,200 32,740 33,280 33,820 34,360 34,900	\$31,660 32,740 33,520 34,900 37,960 38,140 39,220 40,300	\$32,740 34,360 35,980 37,600 39,220 /40,840/ /42,460/ /41,080/ /45,700/	\$33,820 35,980 38,140 /40,300/ /42,460/ /44,620/ /46,780/ /48,940/ /51,100/	\$34,900 37,600 /40,300/ /43,000/ /45,700/ /51,100/ /53,600/ /56,500/	\$35,980 /39,220/ /42,460/ /45,940/ /52,180/ /55,420/	\$37,060 /40,840/ /44,620/ /48,400/ /52,100/ /55,960/	\$38,140 /42,460/ /46,780/ /51,100/ /55,420/	/\$39,220/ /44,086/ /48,940/ /53,800/	/\$40,300/ /45,700/ /51,100/ /56,500/	

<sup>\*</sup>Lease data based on \$300.00 annual use charge plus \$.0350 per copy for the first 20,000 copies per month, \$.0325 for the next 5,000 copies during that month, \$.0300 for the next 5,000 copies, and \$.0250 for all copies over 30,000 during the month.

<sup>\*\*</sup>Purchase data based on purchase price of a new copying machine at \$29,500, plus \$.009 per copy maintenance cost, with an estimated machine life of 3 million copies,

Denotes point in time when accumulated rental payments exceed cumulative cost of purchase and maintenance.

	<u> </u>	CIA CI ASSISTANTI	ON TOP AND BOT	TOMODOG					
- 1	A <b>pprove</b> d/For <b>Re</b> UNCLASSIFIED	SECRETOGO	600040108-8						
	OFFI								
то	NAME AN	DATE	INITIALS	051/44					
1		1A53 Hdqtrs.			25X1A				
2									
3									
4									
<b> </b>	,								
5									
6		T TOPOT DEDIV	PREPARE	DEDI V					
	ACTION	DIRECT REPLY DISPATCH	RECOMME						
<u> </u>	APPROVAL		RETURN	MUNITORI	'				
	COMMENT	INFORMATION	SIGNATUR	)E	1				
<u> </u>	CONCURRENCE			1					
	Remarks:  Attached is a copy of GSA Circular No. 353  which may be of interest to you and your Budget Officer.  Frank or MFC  Let me see production figures for Jest marking for land 2-3 years, by year, by markets.  Year, by markets.								
-	FOLD HERE TO RETURN TO SENDER								
	FROM: NAM	4							
厂		x2245		11-17					
	Approved For Re	lease 2002/ <u>05/0</u> 1	L <del>: CIA-RDP84-0</del>		<b>60</b> 0040108-8				

25X1A

FORM NO. 237 Use previous editions

U.S. GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE: 1961 0-587282